

Office of the Attorney General State of Texas

DAN MORALES
ATTORNEY GENERAL

January 21, 1998

Mr. David R. Gipson Assistant General Counsel Texas Department of Agriculture P.O. Box 12847 Austin, Texas 78711

OR98-0192

Dear Mr. Gipson:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Texas Open Records Act, chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 112952.

The Texas Department of Agriculture (the "department") received a request for Incident No. 2424-02-97-0031. You claim that information responsive to the request, submitted as Exhibit B, is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.103, 552.107, and 552.111 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

To show that section 552.103(a) is applicable, the department must demonstrate that (1) litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. Heard v. Houston Post Co., 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.--Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 (1990) at 4. Contested cases conducted under the Administrative Procedure Act, chapter 2001 of the Government Code, are considered litigation under section 552.103. Open Records Decision No. 588 (1991) at 7. Section 552.103 requires concrete evidence that litigation may ensue. To demonstrate that litigation is reasonably anticipated, the department must furnish evidence that litigation is realistically contemplated and is more than mere conjecture. Open Records Decision No. 518 (1989) at 5. Whether litigation is reasonably anticipated must be determined on a case-by-case basis. Open Records Decision No. 452 (1986) at 4.

The department is authorized to investigate pesticide-related complaints and may assess penalties for violations of chapter 76 of the Agriculture Code. Agric. Code § 76.007(a). Proceedings conducted after assessment of a department penalty are subject to the Administrative Procedure Act. *Id.* at § 76.1555(h). In this instance, the department has

supplied this office with information which shows that there is an ongoing investigation, and the department will take enforcement action as authorized by statute. We conclude that litigation is reasonably anticipated. We additionally find that the documents submitted by the department are related to the reasonably anticipated litigation for the purposes of section 552.103(a). The documents may, therefore, be withheld pursuant to section 552.103.

Generally, once information has been obtained by all parties to the litigation through discovery or otherwise, no section 552.103(a) interest exists with respect to that information. Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). Thus, information that has either been obtained from or provided to the opposing party in the anticipated litigation is not excepted from disclosure under section 552.103(a), and it must be disclosed. We also note that the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends once the litigation has been concluded. Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982).

As we have resolved the matter under section 552.103, we need not address your other claimed exceptions. We are resolving this matter with an informal letter ruling rather than with a published open records decision. This ruling is limited to the particular records at issue under the facts presented to us in this request and should not be relied on as a previous determination regarding any other records. If you have any questions regarding this ruling, please contact our office.

Yours very truly,

Jen-22 de

Yen-Ha Le Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

YHL/rho

Ref.: ID# 112952

Enclosures: Submitted documents

cc: Mr. Don Caylor 102 Aster Circle

Georgetown, Texas 78628

(w/o enclosures)